



# Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

July 30, 2025

For a field  $k$  &  $\ell \nmid \deg k.$

$$K_*^M(k)/\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{et}}^*(k; \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{\oplus \infty})$$



# Milnor $K$ -theory

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Let  $k$  be a field. Milnor defined a graded ring  $K_*^M(k)$ , called the **Milnor  $K$ -theory** of  $k$ , as follows:

- $K_r^M(k) = 0$  for  $r < 0$ ;
- $K_0^M(k) := \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- $K_1^M(k) = k^\times$ ;  **$k^\times$**
- For  $r \geq 2$ , we define  $K_r^M(k) = \frac{\otimes_{i=1}^r k^\times}{I}$ , where  $I$  is the subgroup generated by elements of the form  $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r$  where  $a_i + a_j = 1$  for some  $i \leq j$ . The class  $\{a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r\}$  is typically denoted as  $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ .  **$\rightarrow$  symbols**

The Milnor  $K$ -theory can be described in total as the quotient of the tensor algebra  $T^*(k^\times)$  by the two sided ideal  $I$  generated by elements of the form  $\{a, 1 - a\}$  for  $a \in k - \{0, 1\}$ .

**$\rightarrow \wedge^*(k^\times)$**

**$\{a, 1-a\}$**



# Milnor K-theory

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

$$k^* \frac{1}{106} = 106k^* = 0$$

There are some immediate relations we can deduce from the definitions:

- Because  $0 = \{1, b\}$ , we have  $\{a, b\} = -\{a^{-1}, b\}$ .  $\leftarrow \{aa^{-1}, b\} = 0$
- Because  $-a = \frac{1-a}{1-a^{-1}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, -a\} &= \{a, \frac{1-a}{1-a^{-1}}\} = \{a, 1-a\} + \{a, \frac{1}{1-a^{-1}}\} \\ &= 0 - \{a, 1-a^{-1}\} = \{a^{-1}, 1-a^{-1}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{ab, -ab\} = \{a, -a\} + \{a, b\} + \{b, -a\} + \{b, b\} \\ &= 0 + \{a, b\} + \{b, a\} + \{b, -1\} + \{b, b\} \\ &= \{a, b\} + \{b, a\} + \{b, -b\} \\ &= \{a, b\} + \{b, a\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the third relation implies the symbols in  $K_*^M(k)$  are alternating: For any permutation  $\pi$  with sign  $(-1)^\pi$  we have

$$\{x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}\} = (-1)^\pi \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$



# Milnor $K$ -theory

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Let us see a basic example of Milnor  $K$ -theory:

## Proposition 1

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field. We have

$$\underline{K_r^M(k) = 0, r \geq 2.}$$

$r \geq 2$

$$K_1^M(k) = \mathbb{F}_q^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$$

Remember that unit group of a finite field is always cyclic, so any element in  $K_2^M(k)$  can be written as

$$\{x^m, x^n\} = mn \{x, x\}.$$

$$K_2^M(k) = 0$$

so, we just need to show that  $\{x, x\} = 0$ . If  $q$  is even number, we have  $\{x, x\} = \{x, -x\} = 0$ . If  $q$  is an odd number, we have  $2\{x, x\} = 0$ . Hence, for any odd integer  $m, n$ , it's true that  $\{x, x\} = mn\{x, x\} = \{x^m, x^n\}$ . Since the odd powers of  $x$  are classified as non-squares, it suffices to find a non-square  $u$  such that  $1 - u$  is also a nonsquare. Notice the map  $u \rightarrow 1 - u$  is an injection on the set  $\mathbb{F}_q - \{0, 1\}$ . There is  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  nonsquares and  $\frac{q-3}{2}$  squares, so necessarily some nonsquare will go to a nonsquare.



# Étale Cohomology and Galois Cohomology of a field

Let  $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ . We consider the small étale site  $X_{\text{ét}}$ .

## Proposition 2

Let  $\bar{k}$  be the separable closure of  $k$ . There is an equivalence of categories between abelian sheaves over  $X_{\text{ét}}$  and the category of continuous  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules. (Every element has an open stabilizer)

## Proof.

Let  $F$  be an abelian sheaf over  $X_{\text{ét}}$ . Let  $I$  be the poset of finite Galois extension of  $k$  in  $\bar{k}$ . Then we can set  $M = \text{colim}_{k' \in I} F(k')$ . It has a  $G$ -action induced by the  $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ -action on  $F(k')$ .

On the other hand, given a continuous  $G$ -module  $M$ , for any finite separable extension  $k'$  of  $k$ , we define  $F(k') = M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k')}$ , this defines a product preserving presheaf over  $X_{\text{ét}}$  by remembering every object in  $X_{\text{ét}}$  is a finite coproduct of affine schemes represented by finite separable extensions of  $k$ . To check the sheaf condition, it's enough to check for any finite separable extension  $k''/k'$ , the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(k') \rightarrow F(k'') \rightarrow F(k'' \otimes_{k'} k'') \cong F\left(\prod_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} k''\right) = \prod_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} F(k'')$$

is exact.

Galois extension.

finite étale k-obj. is product of finite separable extensions of  $k$ .



# Étale Cohomology and Galois Cohomology of a field

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

## Proof Continued.

By construction, we want to check

$$0 \rightarrow M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k')} \rightarrow M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k'')} \rightarrow \prod_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k'')}$$

is exact. The first one is injective since  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k'')$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k')$ . The second map is  $m \mapsto \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k''/k')} (m - \sigma(m))$ , so its kernel is exactly

$$\ker = (M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k'')})_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} = M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k')}.$$

To check it gives an equivalence of categories, we need to see there are natural isomorphisms (exercises)

$$(\text{colim}_{i \in I} F(i))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k')} = F(k')$$

and an isomorphism of  $G$ -modules

$$\text{colim}_{i \in I} M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/i)} \cong M.$$





# Étale Cohomology and Galois Cohomology of a field

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Under this equivalence of categories, the global section function  $F \mapsto F(k)$  is corresponding to the functor  $M \mapsto M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)}$ . Hence, for an étale sheaf  $F$  over  $\text{Spec}(k)$ , there is an isomorphism of cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_c(X, F) &= R\Gamma_c(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), M) \\ H_{\text{ét}}^*(X; F) &\cong H^*(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k); F(k)) \end{aligned}$$

*isom.*  $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$

Now, let us consider the sheaves that is related to the Norm-residue theorem. For start, there is the multiplicative group scheme  $\mathbb{G}_m$  defined by sending  $X$  to  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^*$ .  $\text{Gm} = \mathbb{G}_m^*$   
Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integer such that it's not equal to the characteristic of the field. So that  $l$  is invertible on  $\text{Spec}(k)$ . isom. X Then we can define a map of Étale sheaves  $l: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  by  $x \in \mathbb{G}_m(U) \mapsto x^l \in \mathbb{G}_m(U)$ . 1:  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$

## Proposition 3

There is a short exact sequence of Étale sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_l \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{l} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mu_l(U) = \{x \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)^* \mid x^l = 1\}$ .

$x \mapsto x^l$   
surjective.



# Étale Cohomology and Galois cohomology of a field

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Proof.

By construction,  $\mu_l$  is the kernel of the map. It's enough to show this is a surjective map of sheaves. To see this, we need to show for every  $s \in \mathbb{G}_m(U)$ , there is an open covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  such that  $s|_{U_i}$  is in the image  $l: \mathbb{G}_m(U_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(U_i)$ . Suppose  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ , we set  $V = \text{Spec}(A[T]/(T^l - s))$ . The map  $V \rightarrow U$  is surjective because the corresponding map is faithfully flat. Because the derivative of  $T^l - s$  is  $lT^{l-1}$  is a unit, the ring map  $A \rightarrow A[T]/(T^l - s)$  is a standard étale map by definition, which implies  $V \rightarrow U$  is an open covering.  $s|_V$  is in the image by construction. If  $U$  is not affine, we can consider the relative spectrum  $\pi: V = \text{Spec}_U(\mathcal{O}_U(t)/(t^l - s)) \rightarrow U$  i.e.  $\text{Coker}(s) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(U)$

$$\pi: V = \text{Spec}_U(\mathcal{O}_U(t)/(t^l - s)) \rightarrow U$$

and restricting to its affine open subset. □

Notice this sequence is not exact if we replace étale by Zariski.





# Étale Cohomology and Galois cohomology of a field

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

The Kummer sequence indicates that there is a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mu_l) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{n} H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X; \mu_l) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X; \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \cdots$$

*Handwritten notes above the sequence:*  
 $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X; \mu_l)$  above the first arrow,  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X; \mu_l)$  above the second arrow,  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X; \mu_l)$  above the third arrow.

For the 0-th cohomology, we have

*Handwritten notes below the text:*  
 $k^\times \xrightarrow{\alpha} k^\times$  (above the text),  $H^1/k$  (to the right), and  $0$  (below the text).

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mathbb{G}_m) = k^\times.$$

Hence, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mu_l) = \text{Ker}(l: k^\times \rightarrow k^\times)$$

For a field  $k$  containing an  $l$ -th root of unity, we see that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mu_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l.$$

Otherwise, we have  $H_{\text{ét}}^0(X; \mu_l) \cong 0$ . For the first cohomology, we have the Hilbert 90:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X; \mathbb{G}_m) \cong H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k); k^\times) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X; \mu_l) \cong k^\times / l. = k^\times / l.$$



# Étale Cohomology and Galois cohomology of a field

On étale cohomology, one can imagine one can define an external cup product:

$$H^n(X; F) \otimes H^m(X; G) \rightarrow H^{m+n}(X; F \otimes G)$$

This gives a graded ring (for  $* = 0, \mu_l^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{Z}/l$ ):

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(X; \mu_l^{\otimes *}) = \bigoplus_m H_{\text{ét}}^m(X; \mu_l^{\otimes m}).$$

$\frac{H^*(X; \mu_l^{\otimes *})}{(\pi(k^*)/l)} \rightarrow H^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes *})$

## Proposition 4

For  $[a], [1-a] \in k^\times / l \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mu_l)$  where  $a \neq 1, 0$ , we have a relation

$$[a] \cup [1-a] = 0 \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X; \mu_l^{\otimes 2}).$$

Let  $\alpha = \sqrt[l]{a}$  and consider  $E = k(\alpha)$ . Then the inclusion  $i: k \rightarrow E$  induces two natural maps on the étale cohomology groups  $\text{res}_{E/k}: H^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes *}) \rightarrow H^*(E; \mu_l^{\otimes *})$  and  $\text{cores}_{E/k}: H^*(E; \mu_l^{\otimes *}) \rightarrow H^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes *})$  that are compatible with cup product in the following way:

$$\text{cores}_{E/k}(x) \cup y = \text{cores}_{E/k}(x \cup \text{res}_{E/k}(y)).$$

Finite Galois extension.

$\text{cores} \circ \text{res} = [E:k] \cdot \text{id}$  on  $H^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes *})$



# Étale Cohomology and Galois cohomology of a field

Proof continued.

In particular, for  $* = 1$ , the corestriction map is induced by the norm map  $E \rightarrow k$ . We have

$$\text{Nm}_{E/k}(1 - \alpha) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/k)} (1 - \sigma(\alpha)) = 1 - a.$$

This implies

$$[a] \cup [1 - a] = [a] \cup \text{cores}_{E/k}([1 - \alpha]) = \text{cores}_{E/k}(\text{res}_{E/k}([a]) \cup [1 - \alpha]).$$

Notice that  $\text{res}_{E/k}([a]) = [\alpha^I] = 0 \in H^1(E; \mu_I) \cong E^\times / I$ .

Since the Milnor  $K$ -theory is described as the tensor algebra of  $k^\times$  quotienting the relation  $\{a, 1 - a\}$ . We see there is a natural ring map  $K^M(k) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(k; \mu_I^{\otimes *})$ . Because the étale cohomology groups with  $\mu_I$ -coefficient is always  $I$ -torsion, we see that the above map natural factors through  $K^M(k)/I$ , which we call as the norm-residue map:

$$K^M(k)/I \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(k; \mu_I^{\otimes *})$$

norm-residue map.

Theorem 1

Let  $k$  be a field and  $I$  be a positive integer that is not equal to the field characteristic. Then the norm-residue map is an isomorphism for every field  $k$ .



# First Reductions: Transfer Argument

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Consider the category of algebraic field extensions over  $k$ . Let  $F$  be a covariant functor on this category taking values in  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$ -modules, and we also assume  $F$  is contravariant for finite field extensions  $k'/k$ . Hence, for a finite field extension  $k \rightarrow k'$ , we have a composite of maps  $F(k) \rightarrow F(k') \rightarrow F(k)$ , we require this map is multiplication by  $[k' : k]$  on  $F(k)$ . If  $[k' : k]$  is prime to  $\ell$  then we see  $F(k)$  injects as a summand of  $F(k')$ . Hence,  $F(k') = 0$  will imply  $F(k) = 0$ .

## Proposition 5

Both  $k \mapsto K_m^M(k)/I$  and  $k \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^m(k; \mu_\ell^m)$  are functors satisfying the hypothesis above. In particular, so do the kernel and cokernel of the norm-residue maps.

## Proof.

Consider a finite field extension  $k'/k$ . For the functor  $H_{\text{ét}}^m(-; \mu_\ell^m)$ , we have seen it has the restriction and corestriction. Sheaf-theoretically, they are induced by  $(F = \mu_\ell)$ :

$$F \rightarrow f_* f^* F \rightarrow F$$

Writing out the definition, one can see this is exactly  $[k' : k] \text{id}_F$ . For the functor  $K_m^M(-)$ , it is obviously a covariant functor. The transfer map is induced via  $\text{Nm}_{k'/k}$  on degree 1. □

Using this argument, we may assume  $k$  contains all  $\ell$ -th-roots of unity, that  $k$  is a perfect field, and even that  $k$  has no field extensions of degree prime to  $\ell$ .



# First Reductions: Characteristic 0

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

## Proposition 6

To prove the norm-residue theorem, it's enough to show the norm-residue map for fields  $k$  such that  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .

## Proof sketch.

By the transfer argument, we can suppose  $k$  is a perfect field. Let  $K$  be the fraction field of its Witt vectors  $\mathbb{W}(k)$ , in which case  $\mathbb{W}(k)$  is a discrete valuation ring. By [Wei13, III.7.3], one can define the specialization maps  $\text{sp}$  in this case, that are compatible with the norm-residue maps in the following sense:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_m^M(K)/I & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{ét}}^m(K; \mu_l^{\otimes m}) \\ \text{sp} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{sp} \\ K_m^M(k)/I & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{ét}}^m(k; \mu_l^{\otimes m}) \end{array}$$

*Handwritten notes: A red circle encloses the entire commutative diagram. Red arrows point from the top-left to the bottom-left and from the top-right to the bottom-right. Red text '0 in' is written in the center, and 'sp' is written below the bottom-right arrow.*

Furthermore, we also know  $\text{sp}$  is a split surjection which is compatible with the norm-residue map. Because  $\text{Char}(K) = 0$ , we know the top arrow is an isomorphism, which also implies the lower arrow is also an isomorphism. □



# Connections to Motivic cohomology

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Now, we will explain how the norm-residue theorem is connected to the motivic cohomology, where we let  $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ . Recall that from last talk, we know

$$\underline{H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{CH}^q(X, 2q - p);}$$

From [NS90], we have

## Theorem 2

Let  $k$  be a field. We have  $\text{CH}^q(X, p) = 0$  for  $p < q$  and  $\text{CH}^q(X, q) = K_q^M(k)$ .

Consider the cofiber sequence of motive spectra  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/I$ , it induces a long exact sequence of motivic cohomology groups:

$$\dots H^{p-1,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}/I) \rightarrow H^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\times I} H^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}/I) \rightarrow H^{p+1,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $H^{p+1,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{CH}^p(X; p-1) = 0$  and  $H^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{CH}^p(X; p) \cong K_p^M(k)$  by the above theorem, we see that

$$\underline{H^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Z}/I) \cong K_p^M(k)/I.}$$

In fact, following the same argument, we can see that

$$\underline{H^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/I) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > q.}$$



# Connections to Motivic cohomology

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

To connect this to étale cohomology. We need to remember the other interpretation of motivic cohomology. Let  $X$  be a smooth variety. Then there is a motivic complex  $\mathbb{Z}(q)$ , which is a complex of étale sheaves with transfers (so they are also sheaves in Zariski and Nisnevich topology). The motive cohomology  $HP^{\cdot,q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  can be recognized as the hypercohomology of  $\mathbb{Z}(q)$  over  $X$  in the Zariski topology. (Remark) Consider the complex  $\mathbb{Z}/I(q) = \mathbb{Z}/I \otimes \mathbb{Z}(q)$ , it is still a complex of étale sheaves, and in fact, we have by [MVW06, 10.2]

↑

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/I(q)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^*(X; \mu_l^{\otimes q}).$$

Consider the adjunction

$$L_{\text{ét}}: \text{Sh}_{\text{zar}}(X) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(X): i$$

If  $F$  is an étale sheaf, we have a Lerray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{zar}}^p(X; R^q iF) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X; F),$$

where the inclusion of the zero-th line gives us a natural change of topology morphism. Hence, for the motivic complex  $\mathbb{Z}/I(q)$ , we have

$$H_{\text{zar}}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/I(q)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/I(q))$$

Let  $* = q$ , since we know  $H_{\text{zar}}^q(X; \mathbb{Z}/I(q)) \cong H^{q,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/I) \cong K_q^M(k)/I$ , we see this change of topology morphism recovers the norm-residue map.



# The Hilbert 90 condition

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengyun Huang

Now, we will give a road map of the proof of the norm-residue theorem. We will mainly follow Chapter 1 of [HW19].

Because étale and Zariski cohomology over  $\text{Spec}(k)$  commutes with filtered limits, for any abelian groups  $A$  that can be written as a direct limit of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$H_{\text{zar}/\text{ét}}^*(X; A(i)) = H_{\text{zar}/\text{ét}}^*(X; A \otimes \mathbb{Z}(i)) \cong H_{\text{zar}/\text{ét}}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}(i)) \otimes A.$$

$X = \text{Spec}(k)$

## Definition 7

Fix  $n$  and  $l$ . We say that  $H90(n)$  holds if  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) = 0$  for any field  $1/l \in k$ .

When  $n = 0$ , we have  $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont.}}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Which implies  $H90(0)$  holds for any  $l$ . pro show

When  $n = 1$ , we need to observe that  $\mathbb{Z}(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m[1]$ . Hence, we have  $H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(1)) = H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m[1])_{(l)} \cong H^1(k, \mathbb{G}_m)_{(l)} = 0$  by the Hilbert Theorem 90, which justifies the name.

## Lemma 3

$H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$

For all  $n > m$ , the étale cohomology  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(m))$  is a torsion group, so its  $l$ -torsion subgroup is  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(m))$ . When  $1/l \in k$ , we have

$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(m)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(m))$ . For  $n = m$  we have an exact sequence

$$K_n^M(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k; \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \rightarrow 0.$$



# The Hilbert 90 condition

Proof.

By [MVW06, 14.23] and [MVW06, 3.6], we have  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}(m)) \cong H^n(k; \mathbb{Q}(m))$  for all  $n$ . and  $n > m$ ,  $H^n(k, \mathbb{Q}(m)) = 0$ , this implies

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(m)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Q}(m)) = 0.$$

Hence, we know  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(m))$  is a torsion group. To see the isomorphism as claimed, we consider the long exact sequence induced by  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \rightarrow 0$  as follows:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Q}(m)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(m)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(m)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Q}(m)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We see the isomorphism by observing the first and the last cohomology groups are zero. To get the exact sequence, we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{zar}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{zar}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{zar}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \parallel & & \\ H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k; \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \end{array}$$

This almost gives u the exact sequence by noticing that  $K_n^M(k)/I \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \cong H_{\text{zar}}^n(k; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$ . The exactness in the middle follows from a easy diagram chase



# The Hilbert 90 condition

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

## Theorem 4

Fix  $n$  and  $l$ . The condition  $H90(n)$  holds if and only if the norm-residue map  $K_n^M(k)/l \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mu_l^{\otimes n})$  is an isomorphism for every field  $k$  with  $1/l \in k$ . In fact,  $H90(n)$  holds implies that for any smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$  and for all  $p \leq n$ , the change of topology map  $H_{\text{zar}}^p(X; \mathbb{Z}/l(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^p(X; \mathbb{Z}/l(n))$  is an isomorphism.

proof for the if part.

Recall that  $K_n^M(k) \cong H_{\text{zar}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}(n))$ . We have a commutative diagram induced by the change of topology map as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_n^M(k) & \xrightarrow{l} & K_n^M(k) & \twoheadrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/l & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}(n)) & \xrightarrow{l} & H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}(n)) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mu_l^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{0} & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{l} \dots
 \end{array}$$

Handwritten notes:  $\ker(\ell) = l\text{-torsion}$ ,  $\textcircled{1}$ ,  $\textcircled{2}$ ,  $\textcircled{3}$

By assumption, the third vertical map is an isomorphism so by the commutative diagram, we see  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(k; \mu_l^{\otimes n})$  is surjective. By exactness, the next map is the zero map and the  $l$ -torsion part of  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k; \mathbb{Z}(n))$  is 0. By the lemma above, this is saying exactly

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k; \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0.$$



# The quick proof

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

Now, we can present a quick proof of the Norm-residue theorem with listing another two theorems

## Definition 8

We say a field  $k$  containing  $1/l$  is  $l$ -special if  $k$  has no finite field extensions of degree prime to  $l$ . Recall we can always assume  $k$  satisfies this condition by transfer argument.

## Theorem 5

Chapter 3

Suppose that  $H_{90}(n-1)$  holds. If  $k$  is an  $l$ -special field and  $K_n^M(k)/l = 0$ , then  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(k, \mu_l^{\otimes n}) = 0$ , which also implies  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) = 0$ .

## Theorem 6

Deep theorem.

Suppose that  $H_{90}(n-1)$  holds. Then for every field  $k$  of characteristic 0 and every nonzero symbol  $a = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  in  $K_n^M(k)/l$ , there is a smooth projective variety  $X_a$  whose function field  $K_a = k(X_a)$  satisfies

- $a$  vanishes in  $K_n^M(K_a)/l$ ; ~~0~~
- the map  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(K_a, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  is an injection.

Root

$\Rightarrow$

diag  $K_n^M(k)/l$

$\exists X_a$  satisfying the first condition

~~Open~~ conjecture if  $\nexists$  the second condition & cancel out (Root vanishing)



# The quick proof

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang

## Proof of the Norm-residue theorem.

By our reductions, we can assume  $k$  is an  $l$ -special field and has characteristic 0. For each  $a \in K_n^M(k)/l$ , by Theorem 6, there is a smooth projective variety  $X_a$  such that  $a$  vanishes in  $K_n^M(k(X_a))/l$  and  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  embeds into  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(X_a), \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$ . By putting an well-order of elements in  $K_n^M(k)/l$  and using a transfinite induction, we can get a sequence of field  $\{k_\lambda\}$  such that  $a_\lambda$  vanishes in  $K_n^M(k_\lambda)/l$  and  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  embeds into  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k_{\lambda+1}, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$ . Setting  $k' = \cup_\lambda k_\lambda$ , we see that  $K_n^M(k)/l \rightarrow K_n^M(k')/l$  is a zero map and  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  embeds into  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k', \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$ . (Notice here we're using  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k', \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \cong \text{colim}_\lambda H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  by Theorem 59.51.3 from stacks project.) Then, we can choose an  $l$ -special algebraic extension  $k''$  of  $k'$ . By transfer argument, we know that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k', \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k'', \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$$

is an injection and

$$K_n^M(k)/l \xrightarrow{0\text{-map}} K_n^M(k')/l \rightarrow K_n^M(k'')/l \hookrightarrow 0$$

is a zero map.

Let  $k^1 = k''$ , and we iterate this construction to obtain an ascending sequence of field extensions  $k^m$ . Let  $L$  be the union of all  $k^m$ . Then  $L$  is  $l$ -special and  $K_n^M(L)/l = 0$  by construction, so  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(L, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n)) = 0$  by Theorem 5. Since  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$  embeds into  $H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(L, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(n))$ , we finish the proof by Theorem 4. □



$$H^{*,*}(k; \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

### Corollary 7

Let  $k$  be a field containing a primitive  $l$ -th root of unit, then there is a ring isomorphism

$$H^{*,*}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l) \cong K_*^M(k)/l[\tau],$$

where  $\tau \in H^{0,1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l) \cong H^0(k, \mu_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l$  is the class representing a primitive  $l$ -th root of unity.

### Proof.

By the norm-residue theorem and Theorem 4, we have learned that

$$H^{p,q}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l) \cong \begin{cases} H_{\text{ét}}^p(k, \mu_l^{\otimes q}) & p \geq q; \\ 0 & p < q \end{cases}$$

Under the equivalence between étale sheaves and Galois modules, we see  $\mu_l$  is equivalent to the trivial  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/l$  because the  $l$ -th root of unity is in  $k$ . Hence, the multiplication by a primitive  $l$ -th root of unity induces an isomorphism of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\otimes p+1}$ . In sheaf cohomologies, this gives an isomorphism  $\tau: H_{\text{ét}}^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(k; \mu_l^{\otimes q+1})$ .

Then the norm-residue theorem and the identification of motivic cohomology with étale cohomology finishes the proof immediately.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_l^{\otimes q} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/l \\ \mu_l^{\otimes q} &\cong \mu_l^{\otimes q} \end{aligned}$$





# Reference

Norm-Residue Theorem

Pengkun Huang



Christian Haesemeyer and Charles A Weibel, *The norm residue theorem in motivic cohomology*, vol. 200, Princeton University Press, 2019.



Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles A Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, American Mathematical Soc., 2006.



Yu P Nesterenko and Andrei A Suslin, *Homology of the full linear group over a local ring, and milnor's  $k$ -theory*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya **34** (1990), no. 1, 121.



Charles A Weibel, *The  $k$ -book: An introduction to algebraic  $k$ -theory*, vol. 145, American Mathematical Soc., 2013.